



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,681	01/14/2004	Che-Li Lin	10113621	4084

34283 7590 08/01/2006

QUINTERO LAW OFFICE
1617 BROADWAY, 3RD FLOOR
SANTA MONICA, CA 90404

EXAMINER

WRIGHT, INGRID D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2835

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,681	LIN, CHE-LI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ingrid Wright	2835	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Ingrid Wright.

(3) _____.

 (2) Attorney Nelson Quintero, Reg. 52143.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2006
Time: 3:37 P.M.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



LYNN FEILD
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mailed two office actions on 7/14/06, in regards to the instant application. Spoke to Attorney, Nelson Quintero and clarified that the correct office action included prior art reference, Austin et al. US 6396726 B1, and should be regarded as the action for the instant application, and the remaining action should be disregarded. Explained that a written interview summary would be sent out to officially clarify and correct this matter.